Spring football concussion rates mimic the preseason: Findings from the CARE Consortium

Steven P. Broglio, PhD Susan M. Perkins, PhD Larry Riggen Brian D. Stemper, PhD Alok Shah Thomas W. McAllister, MD Michael McCrea, PhD CARE Consortium Investigators



Readers should keep in mind that the in-production articles posted in this section may undergo changes in the content and presentation before they appear in forthcoming issues. We recommend regular visits to the site to ensure access to the most current version of the article. Please contact the *JAT* office (jat@slu.edu) with any questions.

Correspondence

Steven Broglio, PhD

Michigan Concussion Center

830 N University Ave

Ann Arbor, MI 48109

Acknowledgements

CARE Consortium Investigators include: Scott Anderson (University of Oklahoma), Holly Benjamin (University of Chicago), Kenneth L. Cameron and Steven Svoboda (United States Military Academy), James Clugston (University of Florida), Michael Collins and Anthony Kontos (University of Pittsburgh), Sara Chrisman (University of Washington), JT Eckner (University of Michigan), Luis Feigenbaum (University of Miami), Christopher Giza, Joshua Goldman, and John DiFiori (University of California at Los Angeles) April Hoy (Azusa Pacific), Jonathan Jackson (United States Air Force Academy), Thomas Kaminski and Thomas Buckley (University of Delaware), Louise Kelly (California Lutheran University), Justus Ortega (Humboldt State University), Jane McDevitt and Dianne Langford (Temple University), Christina Master (University of Pennsylvania), Jason Mihalik (University of North Carolina), Christopher Miles (Wake Forest University), Patrick O'Donnell (United States Coast Guard Academy), Nicholas Port (Indiana University), Margot Putukian (Princeton University), Steve Rowson (Virginia Tech), Adam Susmarski (United States Naval Academy).

This research was supported by the Grand Alliance Concussion Assessment, Research, and Education (CARE) Consortium, funded in part by the National Collegiate Athletic Association (NCAA) and the Department of Defense (DOD). This work was supported by the Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs, through the Combat Casualty Care Research Program, endorsed by the Department of Defense, under Award No. W81XWH1420151. Opinions, interpretations, conclusions and recommendations are those of the author and are not necessarily endorsed by the DOD.

The authors would also like to thank Jody Harland, Janetta Matesan, Michael Menser (Indiana University School of Medicine); Ashley Rettmann, Nicole L'Heureux, (University of Michigan); Melissa McEachern (Medical College of Wisconsin); Michael Jarrett, Vibeke Brinck, and Bianca Byrne, (Quesgen); Melissa Baker, Christy Collins, Will Felix, (Datalys Center for Sports Injury Research and Prevention); and the research and medical staff at each of the CARE participation sites. We are grateful for the participation of the student athletes without whom this research would not be possible

1 ABSTRACT

2 Increasing attention is directed on identifying aspects of football participation for targeted policy change 3 that reduces concussion risk. Prior work evaluated concussion risk during the pre- and regular-seasons, 4 leaving the spring season largely unexplored. This nationally representative observational investigation 5 of 19 Division I collegiate football programs evaluated concussion rates and head impact exposure 6 during the pre-season, regular season, and spring practices from 2014-2019. All participating programs 7 recorded incidence concussions and a subset (n=6) also measured head impact exposure. Analyses by time of year and session type indicated concussion rates and head impact exposure during all practice 8 sessions and contact only practices were significantly higher in the spring and pre-season compared to 9 the regular season (p's<0.05). Concussion rates during the spring season and pre-season were 10 statistically similar. This investigation identified comparable concussion risk between the spring and 11 pre-seasons, highlighting the need for targeted policy intervention to protect athlete health and safety. 12 13 14 Key Words: Head Impact Exposure, concussion risk 15 Abstract word count: 150 Manuscript word count: 1728 16 Key points: 17 18 Concussion risk and head impact exposure during spring season football mimics the preseason 19 This finding highlights the need for policy change to reduce injury risk and improve player health 20 and safety. 21 22 23

24

25 INTRODUCTION

Participation in football carries a known risk for concussion¹. Over the previous decade, 26 27 concussion researchers have strived to identify specific risk factors for injury and interventions to 28 mitigate risk. Data-driven changes to game play aimed at reducing concussion risk include adjustments to the kickoff², revisions to the pre-season contact practice rules^{3,4}, and increased penalty severity (i.e., 29 30 player ejection) for intentional helmet-to-helmet contact (i.e., targeting). Of note, a recent study from 31 the NCAA-DoD Concussion Assessment, Research and Education (CARE) Consortium indicated that 72% of football related concussions and 67% of all head impact exposure (HIE) occurred during practices. 32 Further, concussion incidence and HIE were both disproportionately higher during pre-season training 33 camp (i.e., August practices) than the regular season. In the same study, preseason accounted for nearly 34 50% of all concussions (despite preseason accounting for only about 20% of the fall football season) and 35 had HIE that occurred at twice the proportion of the regular season⁵. In response to this investigation, 36 the NCAA altered pre-season football rules to allow 25 practices over a 29 day period with a maximum 37 of eight days in full pads, eight days in helmets and shoulder pads, and nine days in helmets only. The 38 revised rules also restricted contact practices to a maximum of two consecutive days. 39 In addition to rule changes related to pre-season and regular season activities, the spring 40 football season represents another area for examination and possible change to guidelines to reduce 41 incidence of concussion and HIE. At the NCAA Division I level, the spring football season includes up to 42 43 15 days of team practices across 34 calendar days. During the 15 practices, 12 may be contact sessions, eight of which may involve tackling, although no more than three of the eight tackling sessions may be 44 45 devoted to 11-on-11 scrimmages. Contact sessions on consecutive days are not permitted. Work by Dick et al⁶ evaluating the NCAA Injury Surveillance System (ISS) data collected from Division I schools 46 47 from 1988-2004 and noted that concussion rates during spring practices were 1.5x higher than pre-48 season practices (10.05 vs 7.05 injuries per 1000 Athlete Exposures (AE)). Conversely, a more recent

- 49 study by Houck and colleagues⁷ from a single Division I football program collected from 2006-2015
- 50 reported that pre-season practice concussion rates were nearly 2x that of spring practices.
- 51 With increasing attention on athlete health and safety, there is a notable dearth of literature 52 examining concussion risk during these key points in the football season, specifically the spring season 53 and from a nationally representative sample. This investigation made use of data collected from the 54 CARE Consortium to estimate and compare incidence of concussion and HIE during the fall football pre-55 season, regular season, and spring season.

56 METHODS

- 57 The NCAA-DoD CARE Consortium is a multi-center study of the natural history of concussion. A 58 detailed explanation of the methods have been described elsewhere⁸. All participants provided 59 informed consent in accordance with their local institutional review board and the US Human Research 60 Protection Office.
- Germaine to this investigation, concussions occurring during Division I football practices were 61 included in the analyses. Data collection began at the start of the 2014 pre-season (i.e., August) and 62 continued through the end of the 2019 regular season. Performance sites were phased into the 63 Consortium over three years, with 11 sites contributing data in 2014/15, 14 in 2015/16, and 19 in 64 2016/17 through the 2019 regular season. Data from the 2018 spring seasons were excluded as a result 65 of a transition in funding and 2020 because of widespread university closures related to COVID-19. 66 A study-wide definition of concussion was implemented⁹ and injury diagnoses were made by 67 local medical staff. All injury relevant data were entered into a centralized database by local 68 69 research/medical staff. Number of practice sessions were estimated by school and year based on NCAA 70 regulations. For each phase of the season, contact practices were estimated as the maximum allowed 71 while non-contact sessions were estimated as the total number of allowable practices minus the

74 Statistical Methods: For the school-level data for number of concussions, a negative binomial 75 model was fit using the generalized estimating equations method to account for multiple observations 76 per school. The outcome was the number of concussions during practice per school and school year. 77 Predictors were Time (spring, Pre-season, regular season), School Year (2014/15 to 2019/20) and the 78 Time by School Year interaction. The natural log of the number of participants * the number of sessions (either all sessions or estimated contact sessions) was used as an offset to account for the differing 79 practice exposure levels across School, Time, and School Year. Within each model the Time effect was 80 estimated averaging over any effects of School Year and the Time by School Year interaction. 81 Comparisons between Time were made with the models using Wald tests. For athlete-level head impact 82 exposure, median impacts per participant per day for spring, pre-season and regular season practices 83 (collapsed over school and school year) were compared using Wilcoxon Signed-rank tests to avoid 84 85 assuming normality given the small sample RESULTS 86 A total of 556 concussions were observed over 9364 total practice sessions (4250 contact 87 practices) between 2014-2019. As shown in Table 1, using school-level data, the rate of concussions in 88 spring and pre-season were not different from each other but both were greater than fall. For example, 89 90 the rate shown is the number of concussions expected per participant per practice, so if 1000 91 participants each participated in 10 Spring sessions (10,000 total sessions), one would expect to see 12 92 concussions. The expected numbers are 11 for pre-season and 3 for fall. Qualitatively similar results 93 were seen at the athlete-level for median head impacts (Table 2). 94 DISCUSSION

95 This investigation sought to make use of a diverse, nationally representative sample of collegiate 96 football programs to evaluate and compare incidence of concussion and HIE during all phases of 97 football, including preseason, regular season and spring football. The findings suggest that concussion risk, as examined during all practices and contact practices specifically, is significantly greater during the 98 99 spring and fall pre-season relative to the fall regular season. There was no difference between incidence 100 of concussion in the pre-season and spring season. This finding was supported by examination of head 101 impact exposure data, showing individual athlete exposure to head impacts was greatest during the 102 Spring and Pre-seasons.

A number of examinations have evaluated concussion risk in the pre-season and regular season. 103 McCrea et al⁵ noted approximately one-half of football related concussions occurring in the fall take 104 place in the month of August (i.e., preseason), a time interval that approximates one-fifth of the entire 105 fall season. Similarly, Wasserman¹¹ reported a three-fold increase in concussion risk during the pre-106 season over the regular season. In addition, within a given time of year (e.g., pre-season) concussion 107 108 risk increased based on the equipment worn, whereby full pad sessions carried a five-fold risk for concussion over helmet only sessions. Equivalently, less than 2% of concussions occur during helmet 109 only sessions, compared to over 2/3rds in full pad sessions¹². Although there are similar concussion 110 estimates and risk during the pre- and spring football seasons, the underpinnings for these findings are 111 112 not clear. Coaches likely maximize the number of full contact sessions allowed within the practice 113 guidelines, which carry the greatest concussion risk by practice type. Further, athletes may be putting 114 forth maximum effort to improve their skill and team standing.

Subsequent to these and other investigations, have been calls to change the sport in ways that improve athlete health and safety. As the risk for concussion nearly doubles during contact sessions (see Table 1), augmenting practice rules by reducing the number of spring contact sessions appears to be the most efficacious route to reducing concussion risk without changing game play. Limiting the number of contact sessions at the high school level has been shown to reduce overall head impact
exposure by nearly 50%¹³ and there is a known association between head impact exposure and injury
risk¹⁴. To that end, regular season practices allow for fewer full contact sessions per week and we
hypothesize that coaches may reduce contact practice intensity to reduce injury risk, resulting in a
significantly lower concussion rate (Table 1).

124 Football is a complex athletic game requiring substantial training to optimize performance and 125 eliminating contact practice sessions should not come at the cost of player education and training. Consistent with prior recommendations for maintaining athlete instruction⁵, any reduction in the 126 number of spring contact sessions could be offset with non-contact sessions that allow for skill building 127 and player development. Indeed, replacing contact sessions with non-contact sessions can be utilized to 128 train tackling maneuvers in a way that reduces head first contact¹⁵, thusly reducing concussion risk and 129 keeping athletes on the field for the largest training benefit. Reducing the number of in-practice injuries 130 is known to improve game performance¹⁶ and may help facilitate rule change adoption by 131 132 administrators.

This study is not without limitations. Importantly, athlete exposures were estimated as the 133 study design precluded the ability to collect exact participation numbers. Further, some teams may not 134 have used the maximum number of contact or total allowable sessions. In addition, total injuries are 135 not directly linked to specific session type. In addition, despite broad implementation in head impact 136 137 studies, data collected through the HIT System is known to have measurement error. Regardless, the data presented here are consistent with data presented elsewhere⁵. Lastly, this study only includes 138 139 NCAA varsity football athletes and the head impact exposure data are from Division I athletes. As such, 140 these findings may not be applicable to other levels of play (e.g., lower NCAA Divisions, high school and 141 youth football).

142

143 CONCLUSION

144	This investigation evaluated and compared incidence of concussion and HIE during three distinct
145	periods in the football calendar: pre-season, regular season, and spring. The findings indicated no
146	difference in concussion incidence and HIE during the spring and pre-season, both of which were
147	significantly higher than the regular season. Given the increased attention on athlete health and safety
148	relative to concussion and all injuries, policy changes to how spring football is conducted are
149	recommended. Following on recommendations from other investigators, reducing the number of
150	contact practice sessions while maintaining opportunities for skill development is likely to have a
151	substantial reduction in exposure and related injury risk.
152	REFERENCES
153	1. Van Pelt KL, Puetz T, Swallow J, Lapointe AP, Broglio SP. Data-Driven Risk Classification of
154	Concussion Rates: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Sports Med. 2021;51(6):1227-1244.
155	2. Wiebe DJ, D'Alonzo BA, Harris R, Putukian M, Campbell-McGovern C. Association Between the
156	Experimental Kickoff Rule and Concussion Rates in Ivy League Football. JAMA. 2018;320(19):2035-2036.
157	3. Stemper BD, Shah AS, Harezlak J, et al. Repetitive Head Impact Exposure in College Football
158	Following an NCAA Rule Change to Eliminate Two-A-Day Preseason Practices: A Study from the NCAA-
159	DoD CARE Consortium. Ann Biomed Eng. 2019;47(10):2073-2085.
160	4. Stemper BD, Shah AS, Mihalik JP, et al. Head Impact Exposure in College Football after a
161	Reduction in Preseason Practices. Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2020;52(7):1629-1638.
162	5. McCrea MA, Shah A, Duma S, et al. Opportunities for Prevention of Concussion and Repetitive
163	Head Impact Exposure in College Football Players: A Concussion Assessment, Research, and Education

164 (CARE) Consortium Study. JAMA Neurol. 2021;78(3):346-350.

- 165 6. Dick R, Ferrara MS, Agel J, et al. Descriptive epidemiology of collegiate men's football injuries:
- 166 National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System, 1988-1989 through 2003-2004. *J Athl*
- 167 *Train*. 2007;42(2):221-33.
- 168 7. Houck Z, Asken B, Bauer R, Pothast J, Michaudet C, Clugston J. Epidemiology of Sport-Related
- 169 Concussion in an NCAA Division I Football Bowl Subdivision Sample. *The American journal of sports*
- 170 *medicine*. 2016;44(9):2269-75.
- 171 8. Broglio SP, McCrea M, McAllister T, et al. A National Study on the Effects of Concussion in
- 172 Collegiate Athletes and US Military Service Academy Members: The NCAA-DoD Concussion Assessment,
- 173 Research and Education (CARE) Consortium Structure and Methods. *Sports Med*. 2017;47(7):1437-1451.
- 9. Carney N, Ghajar J, Jagoda A, et al. Concussion guidelines step 1: systematic review of prevalent
- 175 indicators. *Neurosurgery*. 2014;75 Suppl 1:S3-15.
- 176 10. Crisco JJ, Chu JJ, Greenwald RM. An algorithm for estimating acceleration magnitude and impact
- 177 location using multiple nonorthogonal single-axis accelerometers. Journal of Biomechanical Engineering-
- 178 *Transactions of the Asme*. 2004;126(6):849-854.
- 179 11. Wasserman EB, Coberley M, Anderson S, Grant M, Hardin JA. Concussion Rates Differ by Practice
- 180 Type and Equipment Worn in an Autonomy Five Collegiate Football Conference. *Clin J Sport Med*.
- 181 2020;30(4):366-371.
- 182 12. Kerr ZY, Hayden R, Dompier TP, Cohen R. Association of equipment worn and concussion injury
- 183 rates in National Collegiate Athletic Association football practices: 2004-2005 to 2008-2009 academic
- 184 years. *The American journal of sports medicine*. 2015;43(5):1134-41.
- 185 13. Broglio SP, Williams RM, O'Connor KL, Goldstick J. Football Players' Head-Impact Exposure After
- 186 Limiting of Full-Contact Practices. *J Athl Train*. 2016;51(7):511-8.
- 187 14. Stemper BD, Harezlak J, Shah AS, et al. Association Between Preseason/Regular Season Head
- 188 Impact Exposure and Concussion Incidence in NCAA Football. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2022;

15. Swartz EE, Myers JL, Cook SB, et al. A helmetless-tackling intervention in American football for decreasing head impact exposure: A randomized controlled trial. J Sci Med Sport. 2019;22(10):1102-1107. 16. Carling C, Le Gall F, McCall A, Nedelec M, Dupont G. Squad management, injury and match performance in a professional soccer team over a championship-winning season. Eur J Sport Sci.

194 2015;15(7):573-82.

Table 1: Rate of Concussion during Practice by Type of Contact and Time for n=19 Division I programs

Estimated Rate ^a with 95% Confidence Interval						
	Spring	Fall Pre-season	Regular Season	Comparison ^b		
All Practice	.0012	.0011	.0003	Spring > Regular Season, p < 0.001		
Sessions	(.0007,.0021)	(.0009,.0013)	(.0002,.0003)	Pre-season > Regular Season, p < 0.001		
				Spring > Pre-season, p = 0.80		
Contact	.0022	.0020	.0007	Spring > Regular Season, p < 0.001		
Practice only	(.0012,.0039)	(.0017,.0025)	(.0005,.0008)	Pre-season > Regular Season, p < 0.001		
				Spring > Pre-season, p = 0.79		

^aRates are estimated from the negative binomial models as the expected number of concussions divided
 by practice exposure (number of participants * the number of sessions) ^bbased on Wald test within a

- 216 negative binomial model
- 217
- 218
- 219
- 220 Table 2: Median Head Impacts Per Player Per Contact Session by School and Time for n=6 programs.
- 221 The average number of athletes participating in each season (Spring, Pre-season, Regular Season)
- across all years (2015-2019) are presented in paretheses.

_					
	Team	Spring Season	Fall Pre-season	Regular Season	Comparison ^a
	1	9 (36)	9 (42)	7 (45)	
	2	8.5 (20)	8 (25)	5 (26)	Spring > Pre-season, p = 0.06
	3	12 (24)	10 (42)	7 (43)	Spring > Regular Season, p = 0.03
	4	10 (27)	9 (36)	6 (34)	Pre-season > Regular Season, p = 0.03
	5	10 (20)	9 (35)	6 (35)	
	6	13 (35)	10 (36)	8 (39)	

223

^abased on Wilcoxon Signed-Rank tests; data from football athletes instrumented with HIT System